



Market Facts (Qld.) Pty. Ltd.

Market Research Consultants ABN 40 009 940 265

60-68 Byng Road Birkdale Q 4159

Telephone (07) 3822-9588

PO Box 3196 Birkdale Q 4159

Facsimile (07) 3822-9577

Email: marketfacts@ozemail.com.au



COMMUNITY RESEARCH REPORT

FOR

**REDLAND PDA PROJECTS
REDLAND CITY COUNCIL**

February 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
Objectives of Survey	3
Structured Sample Survey	4
Data Processing and Analysis	4
Reliability of the Findings	4
SUMMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS	5
RESPONDENT PROFILE	6
KEY FINDINGS	8
APPENDIX	18
Survey Questionnaire	18
Complete Tables	22

INTRODUCTION

Market Facts, was commissioned by the Redland city Council in February 2014 to conduct a telephone survey of community attitudes regarding priority development for Toondah Harbour and Weinam Creek.

Objectives of Survey

The objectives are to:

Survey members of the Redland City Council community, in order to:

- provide residents' usage of and attractions to Toondah Harbour and Weinam Creek, and
- provide suggestions for both areas,

Establish the importance to residents of Redland City Council of housing density and local industry

.

Collect suggestions for local and state governments department on best ways to pay for critical infrastructure replacement or upgrade

Ascertain preferred method of reducing 'public debt'.

Level of agreement with a variety of statements regarding RCC and Moreton Bay

Report findings are included in this document with graphs and comments.

The survey instrument and full data tables are presented in the appendix of this report.

Structured Sample Survey

Random telephone calls were made to resident households throughout the City, using the Electronic White Pages. These calls identified 300 residents aged 18 years and over.

In order to enrol the sample of 300 respondents a total of 1182 connected telephone calls were made.

Data Processing and Analysis

The data has not been weighted. As a matter of course the data, after input, was cleaned and logic-checked to ensure error-free detailed tables. All percentages in the tabular output have been rounded to one decimal point. Significance tests have been applied to identify those differences in response among the various subgroups which were highly unlikely to have occurred due to chance.

All responses were coded and computer-analysed by the standard breaks of:

- Age
- Gender
- Personal Work Status
- Homeownership Status

These breaks were established to enable the identification of significant differences in response patterns among the various community sectors represented by the sub-samples. For all analysis of these standard demographic breaks, and for the local issues tables, we have applied significance tests to the differences between the sub-samples and the total sample. These appear in the tables as:

+++	significantly greater than the sample result at the 99% level of confidence
++	significantly greater than the sample result at the 95% level of confidence
+	significantly greater than the sample result at the 90% level of confidence
- - -	significantly lower than the sample result at the 99% level of confidence
- -	significantly lower than the sample result at the 95% level of confidence
-	significantly lower than the sample result at the 90% level of confidence

Tables included in this Report only show results for the Total Sample. However, a comprehensive printout of all analyses has been closely examined in compiling the commentary.

Reliability of the Findings

The findings of the survey are obviously subject to Sampling Error. The maximum Probable Error of our total sample is $\pm 4.1\%$ at the 90% level of confidence. Comparisons between categories and subgroups are subject to varying error depending on respondent frequencies and the relative percentages of respondents falling in different response codes.

SUMMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS

Nearly 43% of respondents prefer that green space be preserved and building was done only in already developed areas, which means higher density in some areas.

Over three quarters of respondents (76.7%) prefer that government explore opportunities for commercial partnerships to fund critical infrastructure.

11.7% preferred government prioritising spending on transport infrastructure over other public spending.

8.7% agreed raising rates and taxes to cover critical infrastructure.

At both sites, Toondah Harbour and Weinam Creek, respondents wanted to see:

- a pier or promenades into the bay
- upgraded park facilities including an artificial beach
- waterfront cafes and restaurants
- better board walks along the waterfront

Only 10.3% wanted Toondah left as is; 11.7% wanted Weinam Creek left as is.

That the local and state governments explore opportunities for commercial partnerships without raising rates and taxes was the preferred method of paying for infrastructure projects (Nearly 77%).

Over 11% preferred government prioritise spending of transport infrastructure over other public spending such as hospitals and education, and not raise taxes and rates.

Nearly 9% preferred to pay more in rates and taxes so government could cover the cost of critical infrastructure, such as Toondah Harbour.

Percentage in favour of –
(Combined strongly agree/agree)

- More foreshore parks 82%
- More boating facilities 65.4%
- Network of bike and pedestrian pathways 81.7%
- Commercial waterfront development 36.7%.
- Tourism is important 78%
- Small business development 88.3%
- A self sufficient local economy 73.7%

There is less support for commercial waterfront developments with 36.7% agreeing, 29.7% neutral or undecided and 33.7% disagreeing.

RESPONDENT PROFILE

The following section of the report provides a graphical summary of the demographic profile of the respondents who participated in the survey. The sample averages for the following demographic characteristics are reported, as follows by:


gender,
age group,
work status
home ownership

The following profile of the survey respondents in Redland City Council is important as it provides an overview of the community members who have provided their opinion and perceptions about Council in the following sections of this report.

In terms of gender, the screened sample of respondents comprised (54%) males and (46%) females.


Age Group:

- 18-34 yr olds combined (10.3%),
- 34-44 yr olds (16.7%),
- 45-54 Yr olds (25%),
- 55-64 yr olds (23.3%) and
- 65+ (24.3%)



Current Work Status


59% of the sample is either working in full or part time employment.



Current Homeownership Status


Those renting account for 8% of respondents.

91% of respondents were home-owners.



KEY FINDINGS

1. How often do you currently visit Toondah Harbour, the gateway to Stradbroke Island and the nearby GJ Walter Park?




Only 76% of respondents have visited Toondah Harbour.

22% visit on a regular basis.

54% visit the location only several times a year or less often.

Nearly 24% of residents, although aware of the location, said they had never visited Toondah Harbour.

2. What activities attract you to this area?




Over 62% of respondents are attracted to the area only to travel to and from the islands of Moreton Bay (almost equal response from male and females and spread across all age groups)

43% go to the area to walk along the foreshore (equal males and females and spread across all age groups), picnic in the park (over 22% of females) or use the dog park.

Other activities attracting people to the area include

- use it as a transit point
- family and friends in the area
- taking friends out for the day
- bike tracks

3a What would encourage you to visit Toondah Harbour?




Nearly 62% of respondents would like 'better boardwalks along the waterfront.'

Over 60% would be encouraged to visit Toondah Harbour if there were waterfront cafes and restaurants.

A pier or promenade extending into the bay (38%) and upgraded park facilities including an artificial beach (24%) was also mentioned.

Just over 10% of respondents would like it left 'as is'.

3b What would encourage you to visit Weinam Creek?



Nearly 53% of respondents would like 'better boardwalks along the waterfront.

52% would be encouraged to visit Weinam Creek if there were waterfront cafes and restaurants.

A pier or promenade extending into the bay (25%) and upgraded park facilities including an artificial beach (33%) was also mentioned.

Just over 11% of respondents would like it left 'as is'.

4a What would you like to see at Toondah Harbour?

Responses were varied to this question but the main theme appeared to be in favour of an upgrade.

- Cafes and shops
- BBQ facilities
- Restaurants
- Better public transport
- A shopping village
- Better dredging
- Coffee shop
- Eating areas that will attract more people
- Better access to Stradbroke
- More things to do while waiting for the ferry
- A marina
- Picnic areas

Also comments such as:

- Don't want to end up like the Gold Coast
- Have a moderate approach
- Don't spoil it, we don't want high-rises
- Lots of trees and shaded areas, places to sit and enjoy the bay
- Just make it nice and tidy

4b What would you like to see at Weinam Creek?

Some of the suggested responses were:


- Harbour marina
- More Parking / better parking / multi-storey parking
- Shops, restaurants and cafes
- Wharf and jetty to fish from
- Boat ramp
- Need to attract tourists and extra money into the area

Others commented :

- Left untouched as much as possible
- Left more as parkland
- Much the same – with picnic area
- Natural environment
- No high-rises

5. Higher buildings in key transit and commercial areas allow for greater population densities in those areas freeing more space for parks, reserves and other recreational purposes

**What do you think is more important to retain in the Redlands.
I'd prefer.....**



Nearly 43% preferred green space was preserved and building was done only in already developed areas, meaning higher density housing in some areas.

38% preferred that all areas have a mix of different housing types e.g. stand-alone houses, townhouses and apartments.

Just over 32% preferred a mix of shops, offices and industry close to where I live, if it means less commuting time.

23% preferred that higher density housing is concentrated only in certain areas and the average response was 4 storeys were appropriate at key transport hubs such as Toondah Harbour


6. Many pieces of critical infrastructure in our city require replacement or upgrade. These include major infrastructure such as the vehicle and passenger ferry terminals at Toondah Harbour at Cleveland and Weinam Creek at Redland Bay.

How should local government pay for critical infrastructure?

- Budget for it
- Federal government
- Developers should pay
- Business people
- Build it now, pay late, the council will make money
- User pays
- Taxes and Rates
- From revenue already raised
- Out of our rates
- Out of their own budget
- Should be a joint effort with State Government

Many people genuinely thought about their answer to this question however negative responses were received, but that is to be expected with this question.

7. Public debt has been raised as a significant issue at all levels of government as has the amount we pay in rates and taxes. This places constraints on support for local government infrastructure projects from federal and state governments.
Which would be your preference?



That the local and state governments explore opportunities for commercial partnerships without raising rates and taxes was the preferred method of paying for infrastructure projects (Nearly 77%).

Over 11% preferred government prioritise spending of transport infrastructure over other public spending such as hospitals and education, and not raise taxes and rates.

Nearly 9% preferred to pay more in rates and taxes so government could cover the cost of critical infrastructure, such as Toondah Harbour.

8. How strongly do you agree with these statements? On a scale of 5 to 1 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree

	Total	Level of Agreement					Don't know
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
More foreshore parks	300	64.3	17.7	11.0	2.7	4.3	-
More boating facilities	300	45.7	19.7	17.3	9.7	7.3	0.3
network of bike and pedestrian pathways	300	59.0	22.7	13.0	2.0	3.3	-
Commercial waterfront development	300	19.0	17.7	29.7	19.0	14.7	-
Tourism is important	300	49.3	28.7	13.3	4.0	4.3	0.3
Small business development	300	62.0	26.3	7.7	2.7	1.3	-
a self sufficient local economy	300	42.7	31.0	20.7	4.0	1.7	-

Generally the responses were very highly in favour of the topics discussed.

Percentage in favour of –
(Combined strongly agree/agree)

- More foreshore parks 82%
- More boating facilities 65.4%
- Network of bike and pedestrian pathways 81.7%
- Commercial waterfront development 36.7%.
- Tourism is important 78%
- Small business development 88.3%
- A self sufficient local economy 73.7%

There is less support for commercial waterfront developments with 36.7% agreeing, 29.7% neutral or undecided and 33.7% disagreeing.

APPENDIX

Survey Questionnaire

Telephone survey for Redland PDA projects

Introduction: Good morning/Afternoon/Evening my name is _____ from Market Facts the Queensland market research company. We are speaking with residents in the Redland City Council area about Toondah Harbour and Weinam Creek

Under the Economic Development Act the State Government in partnership with RCC has declared priority development areas for Toondah Harbour and Weinam Creek. The main purpose of this Act is to facilitate economic development, and development for community purposes.

Both Toondah and Weinam Creek are ports that service Stradbroke Island and the Southern Moreton Bay Islands. These areas also have much loved open spaces and are next to the unique habitat of Moreton Bay. This short survey will help define what development is appropriate in these areas.

1. How often do you currently visit Toondah Harbour, the gateway to Stradbroke Island and the nearby GJ Walter Park?

Daily	1	Once a month	4
Several times a week	2	Several times a year	5
Once a week	3	Never	6

2. What activities attract you to this area?

Travelling to Stradbroke Island	01
Using the dog park	02
Travelling along Eddie Santa Guillianna Way	03
Picnic in the park	04
Walking along the foreshore	05
other (Specify)	*

3. What would encourage you to visit

	Toondah Harbour	Weinam Creek
Better board walks along the waterfront	01	01
A pier or promenade extending into the bay	02	02
waterfront cafes and restaurants	03	03
Ungraded park facilities including an artificial beach	04	04
Other (Specify)	*	*

4.a What would you like to see at Toondah Harbour?

4.b What would you like to see at Weinam Creek?

5. Higher buildings in key transit and commercial areas allow for greater population densities in those areas freeing more space for parks, reserves and other recreational purposes
 What do you think is more important to retain in the Redlands. I'd prefer.....

if green space was preserved and building was done only in already developed areas which means higher density housing in some areas	01
if there was less focus on preserving green space, and that building or development was considered in these areas in order to maintain lower density housing	02
a mix of shops, offices and industry close to where I live, if it means less commuting time	03
keeping shops, offices and industry away from where I live, even if it means more commuting time	04
that all areas have a mix of different housing types e.g. stand-alone houses, townhouses, apartments	05
that higher density housing is concentrated only in certain areas	06
Any Other Suggestion	*

6. Many pieces of critical infrastructure in our city require replacement or upgrade. These include major infrastructure such as the vehicle and passenger ferry terminals at Toondah Harbour at Cleveland and Weinam Creek at Redland Bay.
 How should local government pay for critical infrastructure?

7. Public debt has been raised as a significant issue at all levels of government as has the amount we pay in rates and taxes. This places constraints on support for local government infrastructure projects from federal and state governments. Which would be your preference?

that government cover the cost of critical infrastructure such as Toondah Harbour even if it means I have to pay more in rates and taxes	1
that local and state government explore opportunities for commercial partnerships that facilitate the maintenance and upgrade of important infrastructure without raising rates and taxes	2
that government prioritise spending on transport infrastructure over other public spending such as hospitals and education and not raise taxes and rates	3

8. How strongly do you agree with these statements? On a scale of 5 to 1 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree

More foreshore parks will allow people to enjoy Moreton Bay	5	4	3	2	1
More boating facilities will allow people to enjoy Moreton Bay	5	4	3	2	1
There should be a network of bike and pedestrian pathways along the mainland foreshores	5	4	3	2	1
Commercial waterfront development will add to Redlands' lifestyle choices	5	4	3	2	1
Tourism is important for this city's economic growth	5	4	3	2	1
Small business development in the Redlands should be encouraged					
A self sufficient local economy should be viable in the Redlands	5	4	3	2	1

Thank you very much for your assistance today.

Interviewer: Please record name and phone number for validation.

Complete Tables

Table 1: 1 How often do you currently visit Toondah Harbour?

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Daily	2.3	2.5	2.2	-	-	2.0	6.7 +++	1.4	-	-
Several times a week	4.0	5.6	2.2	16.7	-	2.0	-	4.3	8.2 ++	-
Once a week	3.3	3.7	2.9	-	5.3	4.0	2.7	7.1 ++	-	-
Once a month	12.0	8.7 -	15.8 +	16.7	36.8	8.0	10.7	17.1	4.1 --	-
Several times a year	51.0	51.6	50.4	58.3	42.1	68.0 +++	57.3	37.1 ---	46.6	100.0
Never	23.7	23.6	23.7	8.3	10.5	14.0 -	17.3	31.4 +	35.6 +++	-
Rarely / Not very often	3.7	4.3	2.9	-	5.3	2.0	5.3	1.4	5.5	-

	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Worki ng full- time	Worki ng part- time	Unem ployed	Home duties	Retire d	Incapa citated	Refuse d	Rentin g	Own home	Refuse d
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Daily	2.3	3.4	6.7 +	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-
Several times a week	4.0	2.7	3.3	-	-	7.2 ++	-	-	8.3	3.7	-
Once a week	3.3	4.1	3.3	16.7	-	1.0	100.0	-	8.3	2.9	-
Once a month	12.0	13.6	23.3 ++	16.7	-	8.2	-	-	12.5	12.1	-
Several times a year	51.0	54.4	50.0	50.0	61.1	44.3	-	100.0	41.7	51.5	75.0
Never	23.7	17.7 --	10.0 -	16.7	33.3	36.1 +++	-	-	20.8	23.9	25.0
Rarely / Not very often	3.7	4.1	3.3	-	5.6	3.1	-	-	8.3	3.3	-

Table 2: 2. What activities attract you the area?

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Travelling to and from Stradbroke (other islands) Island	62.3	66.5	57.6	75.0	42.1	60.0	72.0 ++	60.0	58.9	100.0
Using the dog park	8.3	7.5	9.4	8.3	5.3	6.0	14.7 ++	8.6	4.1	-
Travelling along Eddie Santa Guillianna Way	5.0	6.2	3.6	-	-	8.0	8.0	5.7	1.4	-
Picnic in the park	15.7	9.9 ---	22.3 +++	8.3	21.1	24.0 +	18.7	15.7	6.8 --	-
Walking alone the foreshore	19.3	18.0	20.9	25.0	31.6	26.0	13.3	18.6	17.8	-
Part of taking friends for a day out in the area	0.3	0.6	-	-	-	2.0 ++	-	-	-	-
Nothing just use it as a transit point/to get where I'm going	0.7	1.2	-	-	-	-	1.3	1.4	-	-
Family / friends live in the area	1.0	0.6	1.4	-	-	-	1.3	-	2.7 +	-
Travel around the area	1.3	1.2	1.4	-	-	-	-	5.7 +++	-	-
Nothing/ Don't know	14.7	13.7	15.8	8.3	21.1	12.0	14.7	11.4	19.2	-
Bike tracks	0.3	0.6	-	-	5.3	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2: 2. What activities attract you the area?

	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300	147	30	6	18	97	1	1	24	272	4
	100.0	49.0	10.0	2.0	6.0	32.3	0.3	0.3	8.0	90.7	1.3
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Travelling to and from Stradbroke (other islands) Island	62.3	63.9	63.3	50.0	55.6	60.8	100.0	100.0	54.2	62.9	75.0
Using the dog park	8.3	10.9	13.3	-	5.6	4.1	-	-	4.2	8.8	-
Travelling along Eddie Santa Guilliana Way	5.0	7.5	6.7	-	-	2.1	-	-	4.2	5.1	-
Picnic in the park	15.7	12.9	33.3	33.3	33.3	10.3	-	-	16.7	15.8	-
Walking alone the foreshore	19.3	20.4	20.0	16.7	-	21.6	-	-	20.8	19.1	25.0
Part of taking friends for a day out in the area	0.3	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	-
Nothing just use it as a transit point/to get where I'm going	0.7	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	-
Family / friends live in the area	1.0	0.7	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	1.1	-
Travel around the area	1.3	1.4	3.3	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	1.5	-
Nothing/ Don't know	14.7	15.0	6.7	16.7	27.8	14.4	-	-	16.7	14.3	25.0
Bike tracks	0.3	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	-	-

Table 3: 3. What would encourage you to visit Toondah Harbour?

	Gender			Age group							Refused
	Total	Female		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+		
		Male									
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	
Better boardwalks along the waterfront	61.7	58.4	65.5	66.7	68.4	70.0	65.3	58.6	53.4	-	
A pier or promenade extending into the bay	37.7	39.8	35.3	33.3	47.4	46.0	42.7	37.1	26.0	-	
Waterfront cafes and restaurants	60.3	61.5	59.0	58.3	63.2	68.0	66.7	58.6	50.7	-	
Upgraded park facilities including an artificial beach	24.3	25.5	23.0	41.7	36.8	28.0	28.0	20.0	16.4	-	
More/Good shelters for travellers/commuters	1.3	1.9	0.7	-	-	-	4.0 ++	1.4	-	-	
Nothing only use it as a transit point	2.7	3.7	1.4	-	5.3	-	5.3 +	2.9	1.4	-	
Deeper water/needs dredging	0.7	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.7 ++	-	
Wheelchair access	1.0	1.2	0.7	-	-	-	1.3	1.4	1.4	-	
Don't use	5.3	5.0	5.8	-	5.3	6.0	1.3 -	4.3	9.6 +	100.0	
Better parking facilities	0.7	1.2	-	-	-	4.0 +++	-	-	-	-	
Good fish and chips shop (local catch)	0.7	0.6	0.7	-	-	-	-	1.4	1.4	-	
Coffee area	0.3	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	1.4 +	-	-	
Nothing, leave as is	10.3	8.1	12.9	16.7	-	4.0	9.3	15.7 +	12.3	-	

Table 3: 3. What would encourage you to visit Toondah Harbour?

	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Better boardwalks along the waterfront	61.7	66.0	76.7 +	66.7	55.6	52.6 --	-	-	62.5	61.8	50.0
A pier or promenade extending into the bay	37.7	45.6 +++	33.3	33.3	27.8	29.9 -	-	-	20.8	39.7	-
Waterfront cafes and restaurants	60.3	67.3 ++	60.0	66.7	44.4	53.6 -	-	-	58.3	61.0	25.0
Upgraded park facilities including an artificial beach	24.3	29.3 +	20.0	50.0	16.7	18.6	-	-	16.7	25.4	-
More/Good shelters for travellers/commuters	1.3	2.0	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	-
Nothing only use it as a transit point	2.7	3.4	-	16.7	-	1.0	100.0	-	12.5	1.5	25.0
Deeper water/needs dredging	0.7	-	-	-	-	2.1 ++	-	-	-	0.7	-
Wheelchair access	1.0	-	-	-	5.6	2.1	-	-	-	1.1	-
Don't use	5.3	4.1	-	-	16.7	6.2	-	100.0	-	5.5	25.0
Better parking facilities	0.7	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	-
Good fish and chips shop (local catch)	0.7	-	-	-	-	2.1 ++	-	-	-	0.7	-
Coffee area	0.3	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	0.4	-

Nothing, leave as is	10.3	7.5	10.0	-	16.7	14.4	-	-	12.5	10.3	-
-------------------------	------	-----	------	---	------	------	---	---	------	------	---

Table 4: 3. What would encourage you to visit Weinam Creek?

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Better boardwalks along the waterfront	52.7	50.9	54.7	58.3	68.4	58.0	60.0	42.9 -	45.2	100.0
A pier or promenade extending into the bay	25.0	31.1 +++	18.0 ---	33.3	47.4	42.0 +++	25.3	20.0	11.0 ---	-
Waterfront cafes and restaurants	52.0	52.2	51.8	58.3	52.6	66.0 ++	50.7	50.0	43.8	100.0
Upgraded park facilities including an artificial beach	32.7	34.2	30.9	50.0	57.9	30.0	36.0	34.3	20.5 --	-
More/Good shelters for travellers/ commuters	1.3	1.2	1.4	-	5.3	-	4.0 ++	-	-	-
Nothing only use it as a transit point	1.7	1.2	2.2	-	-	-	1.3	-	5.5 +++	-
Deeper water/needs dredging	1.3	2.5 +	-	-	-	-	2.7	-	2.7	-
Wheelchair access	1.0	1.2	0.7	-	-	-	1.3	1.4	1.4	-
Enforce no- smoking laws	0.3	-	0.7	-	5.3	-	-	-	-	-
Don't use	9.7	11.8	7.2	-	-	8.0	9.3	10.0	15.1 +	-
Better parking facilities	1.0	-	2.2 +	-	-	4.0 ++	-	1.4	-	-
Good fish and chips shop (local catch)	0.3	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	1.4 +	-	-
Coffee area	0.3	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-

								+		
Nothing, leave as is	11.7	9.3	14.4	16.7	-	6.0	10.7	18.6 ++	12.3	-
Public parking	0.7	1.2	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	1.4	-

Table 4: 3. What would encourage you to visit Weinam Creek?

	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Better boardwalks along the waterfront	52.7	57.8 +	66.7	66.7	50.0	40.2 ---	-	100.0	54.2	52.2	75.0
A pier or promenade extending into the bay	25.0	36.1 +++	23.3	16.7	22.2	10.3 ---	-	-	20.8	25.0	50.0
Waterfront cafes and restaurants	52.0	57.8 ++	43.3	66.7	44.4	46.4	-	100.0	54.2	51.8	50.0
Upgraded park facilities including an artificial beach	32.7	38.1 ++	40.0	66.7	5.6	25.8 -	-	-	37.5	32.0	50.0
More/Good shelters for travellers/commuters	1.3	2.7 ++	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	1.1	-
Nothing only use it as a transit point	1.7	0.7	-	-	-	4.1 ++	-	-	-	1.8	-
Deeper water/needs dredging	1.3	1.4	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	1.5	-
Wheelchair access	1.0	-	-	-	5.6	2.1	-	-	-	1.1	-
Enforce no-smoking laws	0.3	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	-	-
Don't use	9.7	8.2	6.7	16.7	5.6	12.4	100.0	-	12.5	9.2	25.0
Better parking facilities	1.0	-	-	-	11.1	1.0	-	-	-	1.1	-
Good fish and chips shop (local catch)	0.3	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	0.4	-

Coffee area	0.3	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	0.4	-
Nothing, leave as is	11.7	9.5	10.0	-	16.7	15.5	-	-	12.5	11.8	-
Public parking	0.7	0.7	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	0.7	-

Table 7: 5. What do you think is more important to retain in the Redlands? I'd prefer

	Gender			Age group						
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
If green space was preserved and building was done only in already developed areas which means higher density housing in some areas	42.7	39.1	46.8	50.0	42.1	36.0	36.0	45.7	49.3	100.0
If there was less focus on preserving green space and that building or development was considered in these areas in order to maintain lower density housing	12.3	15.5 +	8.6 -	-	26.3	14.0	18.7 +	10.0	5.5 --	-
a mix of shops, offices and industry close to where I live, if it means less commuting time	32.3	34.8	29.5	16.7	36.8	44.0 +	33.3	30.0	27.4	-
keep shops, offices and industry away from where I live, even if it means more commuting time	21.7	23.6	19.4	33.3	10.5	16.0	26.7	25.7	17.8	-
that all areas have a mix of different housing types	37.7	36.6	38.8	25.0	31.6	36.0	34.7	41.4	42.5	-

eg stand-alone houses, townhouses, apartments										
that higher density housing is concentrated only in certain areas	23.0	26.1	19.4	8.3	15.8	18.0	26.7	28.6	21.9	-
better variety of shops	0.3	-	0.7	-	-	-	1.3 ⁺	-	-	-
they stop putting concrete on everything	2.3	4.3 ⁺⁺	-	16.7	-	2.0	2.7	-	2.7	-
Don't know	1.0	1.2	0.7	8.3	-	-	-	1.4	1.4	-
no high rise because it ruins everything and we'll look like the Gold Coast	6.0	7.5	4.3	16.7	5.3	6.0	4.0	5.7	6.8	-

Table 7: 5. What do you think is more important to retain in the Redlands? I'd prefer

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
if the farmland was left alone/we need food production/stop building houses on our rich red soil	1.0	0.6	1.4	-	5.3	-	-	2.9 +	-	-
clean air	0.7	0.6	0.7	-	5.3	-	1.3	-	-	-
less people	0.7	0.6	0.7	-	5.3	-	1.3	-	-	-
high density housing near public transport	0.7	1.2	-	-	-	-	2.7 ++	-	-	-
Low density housing	1.0	1.2	0.7	16.7	-	-	1.3	-	-	-
Leave it all as is	2.3	1.2	3.6	-	-	-	4.0	4.3	1.4	-
More parking / roads too narrow	1.3	1.9	0.7	16.7	-	-	1.3	-	1.4	-

Table 7: 5. What do you think is more important to retain in the Redlands? I'd prefer

	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
If green space was preserved and building was done only in already developed areas which means higher density housing in some areas	42.7	36.1 --	46.7	66.7	38.9	50.5 +	-	100.0	50.0	42.3	25.0
If there was less focus on preserving green space and that building or development was considered in these areas in order to maintain lower density housing	12.3	19.7 +++	6.7	-	11.1	4.1 ---	-	-	8.3	12.9	-
a mix of shops, offices and industry close to where I live, if it means less commuting time	32.3	36.7	36.7	33.3	16.7	26.8	100.0	-	25.0	33.5	-
keep shops, offices and industry away from where I live, even if it means more commuting time	21.7	26.5 ++	6.7 --	33.3	16.7	19.6	-	-	16.7	22.1	25.0
that all areas have a mix of	37.7	38.1	16.7 --	50.0	33.3	44.3 +	-	-	33.3	37.5	75.0

different
housing types
eg stand-alone
houses,
townhouses,
apartments

that higher
density housing
is concentrated
only in certain
areas

23.0	22.4	40.0 ++	16.7	5.6	22.7	-	-	12.5	23.9	25.0
------	------	------------	------	-----	------	---	---	------	------	------

better variety
of shops

0.3	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	-
-----	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----	---

they stop
putting
concrete on
everything

2.3	3.4	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	2.2	25.0
-----	-----	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---	-----	------

Don't know

1.0	-	-	16.7	-	2.1	-	-	4.2	0.7	-
-----	---	---	------	---	-----	---	---	-----	-----	---

no high rise
because it ruins
everything and
we'll look like
the Gold Coast

6.0	4.8	10.0	-	5.6	7.2	-	-	8.3	5.5	25.0
-----	-----	------	---	-----	-----	---	---	-----	-----	------

Table 7: 5. What do you think is more important to retain in the Redlands? I'd prefer

	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
if the farmland was left alone/we need food production/stop building houses on our rich red soil	1.0	0.7	-	-	5.6	1.0	-	-	4.2	0.7	-
clean air	0.7	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	-	25.0
less people	0.7	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	-	25.0
high density housing near public transport	0.7	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	-
Low density housing	1.0	2.0 +	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1	-
Leave it all as is	2.3	2.7	-	-	5.6	2.1	-	-	-	2.6	-
More parking / roads too narrow	1.3	2.0	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	8.3	0.7	-

Table 9: 7. Public debt has been raised as a significant issue at all levels of government as has the amount we pay in rates and taxes. This places constraints on support for local government infrastructure projects from federal and state government. Which would be your preference?

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
that government cover cost of critical infrastructure such as Toondah Harbour even if it means I have to pay more in rates and taxes	8.7	8.7	8.6	8.3	21.1	6.0	6.7	7.1	11.0	-
that local and state government explore opportunities for commercial partnership	76.7	82.6 +++	69.8 ---	91.7	63.2	74.0	81.3	77.1	74.0	100.0
that government prioritise spending on transport infrastructure over other public spending	11.7	5.0 ---	19.4 +++	-	10.5	12.0	8.0	14.3	15.1	-
Don't know	3.0	3.7	2.2	-	5.3	8.0 ++	4.0	1.4	-	-

Table 9: 7. Public debt has been raised as a significant issue at all levels of government as has the amount we pay in rates and taxes. This places constraints on support for local government infrastructure projects from federal and state government. Which would be your preference?

	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300	147	30	6	18	97	1	1	24	272	4
	100.0	49.0	10.0	2.0	6.0	32.3	0.3	0.3	8.0	90.7	1.3
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
that government cover cost of critical infrastructure such as Toondah Harbour even if it means I have to pay more in rates and taxes	8.7	9.5	10.0	-	-	9.3	-	-	8.3	8.8	-
that local and state government explore opportunities for commercial partnership	76.7	76.2	80.0	100.0	77.8	74.2	100.0	100.0	79.2	76.8	50.0
that government prioritise spending on transport infrastructure over other public spending	11.7	10.9	3.3	-	16.7	15.5	-	-	4.2	12.5	-
Don't know	3.0	3.4	6.7	-	5.6	1.0	-	-	8.3	1.8	50.0

Table 10: 8. How strongly do you agree with these statements?

	Level of Agreement						
	Total	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't know
More foreshore parks	300	64.3	17.7	11.0	2.7	4.3	-
More boating facilities	300	45.7	19.7	17.3	9.7	7.3	0.3
network of bike and pedestrian pathways	300	59.0	22.7	13.0	2.0	3.3	-
Commercial waterfront development	300	19.0	17.7	29.7	19.0	14.7	-
Tourism ins important	300	49.3	28.7	13.3	4.0	4.3	0.3
Small business development	300	62.0	26.3	7.7	2.7	1.3	-
a self sufficient local economy	300	42.7	31.0	20.7	4.0	1.7	-

Table 10.1: 8. How strongly do you agree with these statements?

More foreshore parks

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	64.3	62.7	66.2	41.7	89.5	66.0	69.3	58.6	61.6	-
Agree	17.7	17.4	18.0	58.3	10.5	16.0	14.7	18.6	15.1	100.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	11.0	14.3 +	7.2 -	-	-	12.0	13.3	11.4	12.3	-
Disagree	2.7	1.9	3.6	-	-	6.0	-	2.9	4.1	-
Strongly Disagree	4.3	3.7	5.0	-	-	-	2.7	8.6 ++	6.8	-

	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Working full- time	Working part- time	Unem- ployed	Home duties	Retire d	Incapa- citated	Refuse d	Rent ing	Own home	Refuse d
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	64.3	69.4 +	66.7	83.3	50.0	58.8	-	-	75.0	63.6	50.0
Agree	17.7	16.3	23.3	16.7	22.2	15.5	100.0	100.0	25.0	17.3	-
Neither Agree nor Disagree	11.0	10.2	6.7	-	11.1	14.4	-	-	-	11.4	50.0
Disagree	2.7	2.0	-	-	5.6	4.1	-	-	-	2.9	-
Strongly Disagree	4.3	2.0 -	3.3	-	11.1	7.2 +	-	-	-	4.8	-

Table 10.2: 8. How strongly do you agree with these statements?

More boating facilities

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Femal		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
		Male	e							
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	45.7	52.2 ++	38.1 --	50.0	57.9	48.0	53.3	40.0	38.4	-
Agree	19.7	16.8	23.0	33.3	26.3	24.0	16.0	14.3	21.9	-
Neither Agree nor Disagree	17.3	14.9	20.1	16.7	15.8	12.0	20.0	18.6	16.4	100.0
Disagree	9.7	10.6	8.6	-	-	14.0	6.7	10.0	13.7	-
Strongly Disagree	7.3	5.0 -	10.1 +	-	-	2.0	4.0	17.1 +++	8.2	-
Don't know	0.3	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4 +	-

	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	45.7	52.4 ++	40.0	66.7	33.3	38.1 -	100.0	-	54.2	45.2	25.0
Agree	19.7	17.0	33.3 ++	16.7	38.9	16.5	-	-	33.3	18.8	-
Neither Agree nor Disagree	17.3	17.7	20.0	16.7	-	18.6	-	100.0	8.3	17.6	50.0
Disagree	9.7	8.8	3.3	-	16.7	12.4	-	-	4.2	9.9	25.0
Strongly Disagree	7.3	4.1 --	3.3	-	11.1	13.4 +++	-	-	-	8.1	-
Don't know	0.3	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	0.4	-

Table 10.3: 8. How strongly do you agree with these statements?

network of bike and pedestrian pathways

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Femal		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
		Male	e							
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	59.0	57.8	60.4	50.0	68.4	64.0	60.0	55.7	57.5	-
Agree	22.7	23.6	21.6	41.7	26.3	18.0	20.0	21.4	24.7	100.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	13.0	13.7	12.2	-	5.3	14.0	18.7 +	14.3	9.6	-
Disagree	2.0	1.9	2.2	8.3	-	4.0	-	1.4	2.7	-
Strongly Disagree	3.3	3.1	3.6	-	-	-	1.3	7.1 ++	5.5	-

	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	59.0	61.9	60.0	66.7	38.9	57.7	100.0	-	75.0	57.7	50.0
Agree	22.7	21.1	30.0	33.3	22.2	21.6	-	100.0	25.0	22.4	25.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	13.0	12.2	10.0	-	33.3	12.4	-	-	-	14.0	25.0
Disagree	2.0	2.0	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	-	2.2	-
Strongly Disagree	3.3	2.7	-	-	5.6	5.2	-	-	-	3.7	-

Table 10.4: 8. How strongly do you agree with these statements?

Commercial waterfront development

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Femal		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
		Male	e							
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	19.0	24.2 ++	12.9 --	25.0	5.3	20.0	28.0 ++	24.3	6.8 ---	-
Agree	17.7	20.5	14.4	8.3	21.1	18.0	21.3	14.3	17.8	-
Neither Agree nor Disagree	29.7	28.6	30.9	33.3	42.1	34.0	29.3	18.6 --	32.9	100.0
Disagree	19.0	14.9 -	23.7 +	33.3	26.3	20.0	10.7 --	18.6	23.3	-
Strongly Disagree	14.7	11.8	18.0	-	5.3	8.0	10.7	24.3 +++	19.2	-

	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Worki	Worki	Unem	Home	Retire	Incapa	Refuse	Rentin	Own	Refuse
		ng	ng								
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	19.0	23.8 ++	23.3	50.0	11.1	10.3 ---	-	-	20.8	18.8	25.0
Agree	17.7	22.4 ++	10.0	16.7	22.2	12.4 -	-	-	8.3	18.4	25.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	29.7	31.3	33.3	16.7	16.7	27.8	100.0	100.0	37.5	29.4	-
Disagree	19.0	13.6 --	26.7	16.7	11.1	26.8 ++	-	-	25.0	18.0	50.0
Strongly Disagree	14.7	8.8 ---	6.7	-	38.9	22.7 +++	-	-	8.3	15.4	-

Table 10.5: 8. How strongly do you agree with these statements?

Tourism is important

	Gender			Age group						Refused
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	49.3	50.9	47.5	41.7	31.6	58.0	52.0	52.9	43.8	-
Agree	28.7	27.3	30.2	50.0	57.9	20.0	28.0	24.3	27.4	100.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	13.3	13.7	12.9	8.3	10.5	18.0	13.3	10.0	15.1	-
Disagree	4.0	3.7	4.3	-	-	4.0	1.3	5.7	6.8	-
Strongly Disagree	4.3	4.3	4.3	-	-	-	4.0	7.1	6.8	-
Don't know	0.3	-	0.7	-	-	-	1.3 +	-	-	-

	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Working full-time	Working part-time	Unemployed	Home duties	Retired	Incapacitated	Refused	Renting	Own home	Refused
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	49.3	54.4 +	46.7	66.7	44.4	42.3 -	100.0	-	37.5	50.7	25.0
Agree	28.7	29.9	23.3	16.7	38.9	26.8	-	100.0	45.8	26.5	75.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	13.3	12.2	23.3 +	16.7	5.6	13.4	-	-	12.5	13.6	-
Disagree	4.0	1.4 --	3.3	-	5.6	8.2 +++	-	-	4.2	4.0	-
Strongly Disagree	4.3	2.0 -	-	-	5.6	9.3 +++	-	-	-	4.8	-
Don't know	0.3	-	3.3 +++	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	-

Table 10.6: 8. How strongly do you agree with these statements?

Small business development

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	62.0	63.4	60.4	50.0	57.9	60.0	61.3	72.9 ++	57.5	-
Agree	26.3	26.7	25.9	50.0	31.6	28.0	28.0	17.1 --	26.0	100.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	7.7	5.6	10.1	-	10.5	12.0	6.7	7.1	6.8	-
Disagree	2.7	3.1	2.2	-	-	-	4.0	-	6.8 ++	-
Strongly Disagree	1.3	1.2	1.4	-	-	-	-	2.9	2.7	-

	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Worki ng full- time	Worki ng part- time	Unem ployed	Home duties	Retire d	Incapa citated	Refuse d	Rentin g	Own home	Refuse d
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	62.0	69.4 +++	53.3	66.7	50.0	55.7	100.0	-	37.5	64.7	25.0
Agree	26.3	23.8	30.0	16.7	44.4	25.8	-	100.0	54.2	23.2	75.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	7.7	5.4	13.3	16.7	-	10.3	-	-	8.3	7.7	-
Disagree	2.7	1.4	3.3	-	-	5.2 +	-	-	-	2.9	-
Strongly Disagree	1.3	-	-	-	5.6	3.1 +	-	-	-	1.5	-

Table 10.7: 8. How strongly do you agree with these statements?

a self sufficient local economy

	Gender			Age group						Refuse d
	Total	Male	Femal e	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	42.7	46.6	38.1	41.7	26.3	46.0	49.3	47.1	34.2 -	-
Agree	31.0	31.1	30.9	33.3	52.6	26.0	34.7	30.0	24.7	100.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	20.7	17.4	24.5	25.0	21.1	24.0	14.7	15.7	28.8 ++	-
Disagree	4.0	3.1	5.0	-	-	4.0	1.3	4.3	8.2 ++	-
Strongly Disagree	1.7	1.9	1.4	-	-	-	-	2.9	4.1 +	-

	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Worki ng full- time	Worki ng part- time	Unem ployed	Home duties	Retire d	Incapa citated	Refuse d	Rentin g	Own home	Refuse d
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Strongly Agree	42.7	51.0 +++	43.3	66.7	22.2	33.0 --	-	-	37.5	43.4	25.0
Agree	31.0	32.7	33.3	16.7	33.3	27.8	-	100.0	33.3	30.5	50.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	20.7	15.6 --	20.0	16.7	27.8	26.8 +	100.0	-	20.8	20.6	25.0
Disagree	4.0	0.7 ---	3.3	-	11.1	8.2 +++	-	-	8.3	3.7	-
Strongly Disagree	1.7	-	-	-	5.6	4.1 ++	-	-	-	1.8	-

Table 11: Gender

	Gender			Age group							Refuse d
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+		
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	
Male	53.7	100.0 +++	-	83.3	42.1	62.0	58.7	45.7	49.3	-	
Female	46.3	-	100.0 +++	16.7	57.9	38.0	41.3	54.3	50.7	100.0	
	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Working full- time	Working part- time	Unem- ployed	Home duties	Retire d	Incapa- citated	Refuse d	Rent ing	Own home	Refuse d
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Male	53.7	68.0 +++	30.0 ---	66.7	5.6	47.4	100.0	-	62.5	52.2	100.0
Female	46.3	32.0 ---	70.0 +++	33.3	94.4	52.6	-	100.0	37.5	47.8	-

Table 12: Age group

	Gender			Age group						
	Total	Female		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused
		Male								
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
18-24	4.0	6.2 ++	1.4 --	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
25-34	6.3	5.0	7.9	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-
35-44	16.7	19.3	13.7	-	-	100.0 +++	-	-	-	-
45-54	25.0	27.3	22.3	-	-	-	100.0 +++	-	-	-
55-64	23.3	19.9	27.3	-	-	-	-	100.0 +++	-	-
65+	24.3	22.4	26.6	-	-	-	-	-	100.0 +++	-
Refused	0.3	-	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0

	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Working full-time	Working part-time	Unemployed	Home duties	Retired	Incapacitated	Refused	Renting	Own home	Refused
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
18-24	4.0	4.1	6.7	66.7	-	-	-	-	25.0	2.2	-
25-34	6.3	10.9 +++	6.7	16.7	-	-	-	-	25.0	4.8	-
35-44	16.7	25.9 +++	26.7	-	16.7	1.0 ---	-	-	25.0	15.4	50.0
45-54	25.0	40.8 +++	33.3	-	27.8	-	-	-	12.5	26.1	25.0
55-64	23.3	17.7 --	23.3	16.7	44.4	27.8	100.0	-	12.5	24.6	-
65+	24.3	0.7 ---	3.3 ---	-	11.1	71.1 +++	-	-	-	26.5	25.0
Refused	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	0.4	-

Table 13: Present work status

	Gender			Age group						
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0
Working full-time	49.0	62.1 +++	33.8 ---	50.0	84.2	76.0 +++	80.0 +++	37.1 --	1.4 ---	-
Working part-time	10.0	5.6 ---	15.1 +++	16.7	10.5	16.0	13.3	10.0	1.4 ---	-
Unemployed	2.0	2.5	1.4	33.3	5.3	-	-	1.4	-	-
Home duties	6.0	0.6 ---	12.2 +++	-	-	6.0	6.7	11.4 ++	2.7	-
Retired	32.3	28.6	36.7	-	-	2.0 ---	-	38.6	94.5 +++	-
Incapacitated	0.3	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	1.4 +	-	-
Refused	0.3	-	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0

	Present work status							Home ownership status			
	Total	Working full-time	Working part-time	Unemployed	Home duties	Retired	Incapacitated	Refused	Renting	Own home	Refused
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Working full-time	49.0	100.0 +++	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.0	48.9	50.0
Working part-time	10.0	-	100.0 +++	-	-	-	-	-	12.5	9.6	25.0
Unemployed	2.0	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	25.0	-	-
Home duties	6.0	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	4.2	6.3	-
Retired	32.3	-	-	-	-	100.0 +++	-	-	4.2	34.9	25.0
Incapacitated	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	4.2	-	-
Refused	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	0.4	-

Table 14: Home ownership status

	Gender			Age group							
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Refused	
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	161 53.7 100.0	139 46.3 100.0	12 4.0 100.0	19 6.3 100.0	50 16.7 100.0	75 25.0 100.0	70 23.3 100.0	73 24.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	
Renting	8.0	9.3	6.5	50.0	31.6	12.0	4.0	4.3	-	-	
Own home	90.7	88.2	93.5	50.0	68.4	84.0	94.7	95.7	98.6	100.0	
Refused	1.3	2.5	-	-	-	4.0	1.3	-	1.4	-	
	Present work status						Home ownership status				
	Total	Working full-time	Working part-time	Unemployed	Home duties	Retired	Incapacitated	Refused	Renting	Own home	Refused
Unweighted Base	300 100.0	147 49.0 100.0	30 10.0 100.0	6 2.0 100.0	18 6.0 100.0	97 32.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	1 0.3 100.0	24 8.0 100.0	272 90.7 100.0	4 1.3 100.0
Renting	8.0	8.2	10.0	100.0	5.6	1.0	100.0	-	100.0	-	-
Own home	90.7	90.5	86.7	-	94.4	97.9	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
Refused	1.3	1.4	3.3	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	100.0

Table 5: 4a What would you like to see at Toondah Harbour?

A balance between the environment and natural walking paths
A barge going to Moreton island
A Bridge to go over to the islands
A broad rise & some cafes
A decent channel - boat ramp for small vessels washing facilities to clean boats
A great place to visit, walking paths, cafe, playground
A marina - more cafes & Restaurants
A nice eating place and somewhere to relax would be good
A real restaurant cafe prescient
A shopping village
A small village - some shops and cafes
Access to the water
All good as is
An upgrade but not too much to spoil the area
Any and all improvements in the area would be great
any sort of progress, make it like Raby Bay.
Anything except what they are planning at the moment
At Hervey Bay it is beautiful so something like that would be good
BBQ facilities
Better dredging in the bay so ferries can come and go with ease
Better facilities for families
Better parking and more of it
Better parking facilities and make it look more like the boardwalk at Mooloolaba
Better parking, shops, cafes
Better pedestrian only pathways
Better public transport
Boardwalk
Boardwalks and Cafes
Boardwalks, public amenities along the way
Bring it on, needs upgrading
Cafe & Play equipment
Cafe s& Restaurants would do well there
Cafe to sit and enjoy the view
Cafes & eating places
Cafes & Restaurants and walking paths
Cafes & Restaurants would be handy while waiting for the ferry
Cafes & Restaurants, a Marina
Cafes & Shops
Cafes & Walking ways & more seating
Cafes and things for families
Cafes, better facilities
Cafes, keep it as natural as possible
Cafes, restaurants
Cafes, restaurants
Cafes, shops
Cafes, shops
Can't answer
Car park needed
Casual family eateries where kids are welcome
Cleaned up fishing jetty
Clean up
Cleaned up

Coffee shop would be nice
 Coffee shops, playgrounds and a picnic area
 Covered BBQ areas
 Covered picnic areas, shops
 D/K
 Definitely needs improving there
 Development needs to go ahead to encourage more people to go there and spend money that will go into the local economy
 Don't destroy the mangroves
 Don't go there at all
 Don't really know enough about it to comment
 Don't really know enough about it to comment
 Don't spoil it we don't want high rises
 Don't think we need any more cafes, they can't get customers now. Leave it as it is now
 Don't use
 Don't want it to end up like the Gold Coast
 Dredged, cafe, units
 Dredged, develop with hotels
 Eating areas will attract more people
 Existing foreshore developed
 Facilities for young adults
 Family facilities, better access to straddle
 Family facilities, green area
 Family friendly parks
 Family friendly place
 Family orientated - water park
 Family orientated cafes, play areas for children. High rise up to 10 storeys
 Generally upgrade the whole area
 Good access to public amenities
 Good parking, cafe, walkway
 good toilet facilities and be able to have a coffee. Put something there that will bring people to the area.
 Create jobs and uplift the area
 Have a more moderate approach
 I like it the way it is
 I would like to see it modern
 Improve the area and install more life in the area without building high rise everywhere. enough around that place already
 Improved facilities, but not on a grand scale
 It cost too much o go over to Stradbroke Island
 It doesn't worry me its progress
 It has been improved. build a marina
 It needs developing that's for sure
 It needs to be improved, shops etc
 It needs more parking and places to sit down at while waiting for ferry
 It's a mess - they need to make the place more pleasant but not as big as the Gold coast
 It's been so long since I went there I can only think of travelling there to go to the islands so I have no suggestions
 Its ok they've worked it out fairly well. No suggestions
 Just upgrade the area. It needs to be less industrial looking
 Keep as close to nature as possible
 Leave it alone I like it as it is
 Leave it as it is now
 Leave nature as is.
 Lots of trees and shaded areas, places to sit and enjoy the bay
 Low key; don't wreck the ecology by dredging

Made into somewhere people can go and enjoy a light meal and relax
 Make it family friendly. Kids want to see big red cat and adults could have a coffee and quiet sit
 Make it nice and tidy
 Marina, cafe, parklands
 Maybe a weekend market
 More parking facilities and public toilets
 More cafes & Restaurants
 More development, cafes, restaurants
 More developed - shops cafes etc
 More facilities for families
 More facilities for seniors, benches, cafes
 More facilities, kid friendly
 More like Wynnum foreshore / music / places to eat
 More parking and play areas for children
 More parking. Good public transport
 More parks and a marina
 More public friendly parks, shop & cafes
 More restaurants and more parking
 More restaurants & cafes
 More shops
 More things to do there plus a place to walk the dog and maybe have a coffee
 More things to do while waiting for the ferry, cafes etc
 More upgrade but don't spoil what is already there
 More useable area, artificial beach
 More users friendly
 More utilisation of water front
 More like Raby Bay
 More parking facilities and a section for parking for residents who live on Stradbroke island
 Nature we need to look after it
 Need to attract tourists and extra money for the area. Jobs and money by making it a pleasant place to visit
 Needs a revamp and upgrade
 Needs to be made more family friendly place, shops cafes - like manly
 Nice walks & a small amount of cafes, shops but not over build
 Nice walkways but don't go overboard
 No change fine the way it is.
 No changes needed, don't want development at all
 No destruction of the Natural environment
 No development
 No high rise enough of them already
 No high rise. buildings should be well back from the water. Leave a green area before it's all gone
 No high-rises or shops
 None - we don't want it to change
 Not apartment building
 Not high rise like the Gold Coast
 Not high rises
 Not sure
 Not sure restricted development
 Not too much expansion
 Nothing
 Nothing because the foreshore is being damaged
 Nothing, spend the money on something that would make a difference to the community. Health and caring for the community
 Nothing they have already made a mess of it
 Park areas, shops, no high rise
 Park facilities, bbq areas
 Redland PDA Project 2014

Parking and good ferry access
 Parklands
 Parks & Public facilities
 Parks and gardens would be nice and good parking for travellers
 Perhaps parking but it all seems to be working smoothly
 Picnic areas
 Picnic areas undercover
 Picnic areas, shops cafes
 Picnic facilities - tourist areas
 Places that are shaded & you can sit
 Play area for children and board walk
 Play area to entertain kids while eating at cafes
 Playground for kids while we are waiting for the ferry
 Proposal is great
 Public amenities along the boardwalk
 Public amenities, shops
 Put a casino there as long as it's friendly for everyone
 Rarely go there so I can't say
 Restaurants & Cafes
 Restaurants for families
 Restaurants, family areas
 Restaurants, shops
 Restaurants
 Revamp of harbour, better facilities
 Shops & cafes, things for kids to do
 Shops & Restaurants
 Shops and cafes, things for families to do
 Shops, boardwalks
 Shops, cafes, restaurants
 Shops, cafes picnic areas
 Shops, parks
 Shops. cafes BBQ areas
 Small marina a few cafes, nothing too big & no high-rise
 Some boutique shops and a restaurant/ coffee shop
 Some kind of development of the area
 Something for all
 Something for families and the young ones
 Something like Southbank but not as big
 Something needs to go in there but not on a grand scale. something to attract visitors and locals but not like Wynnum/Manly
 Something needs to go there not sure what
 Something they do there would be good, cafe and play ground area for kids
 Something to attract tourists/visitors to the area. Maybe a facelift but nothing too grand
 Something to do while you waiting for the ferry
 Somewhere families and tourist friends can go and appreciate the locations we have
 Somewhere for our boat
 somewhere nice to enjoy the water
 Somewhere that I can take my family and they will have a good time
 Speciality shops cafes
 They keep dredging but it keeps filling up. Do it right.
 They need to do a major upgrade
 Tidy up areas for families
 Tidy up the area
 Tidying up
 To keep the preservation of the environment as well as improving the area

To remain open and providing space for families to enjoy
Undercover BBQ areas
Update the area but don't spoil the eco system
upgrade area for the ferries
Upgrade but be aware don't spoil the area with high rises
Upgrade but with thought, don't overdo it
Upgrade for ferry like Raby bay but not high rises
Upgrade of facilities and infrastructure
Upgrade parking for ferry
User friendly parks, like covered BBQ area
Walking paths
Water front dining is a great idea, shops, and playground and BBQ areas
Well its progress isn't, it so I guess they should put cafes & shops there
Well something needs to be done it's an eyesore, progress means development

Table 6: 4a What would you like to see at Weinam Creek?

A barge service to Moreton Island
A Better boat ramp
A cafe or restaurant would be good
A decent channel - boat ramp for small vessels washing facilities to clean boats
A family orientated area
A general upgrade
A harbour marina there
A marina
A marina for boats
A Marina there
A New boat ramp
A park for children
A pier to tie boats to
A restaurant area, beautification using native plants
Access to the water
All good with a nice pub nearby and pleasant walks already
All improvements would be great, shops, cafes; restaurant will only make it better
another boat ramp and keep dredging
Anything more than what there now it's pretty bleak
Better carpark
Better dredging in the bay so ferries can come and go with ease. It's just mud at low tide
Better park, shops cafes
Better parking
Better parking facilities
Better parking for people coming in from the islands
Better parking for residents of the area
Better parking, good fishing area off a jetty
Better parking, more shops
Better parking, parks shops & Cafes
Better parking, restaurants
Better parking, shops & cafes
Bike tracks
Boat ramp shops
Boat ramps, cafes
Build a marina
Cafe and play equipment
Cafes, shops, parking
Canoeing activities
Car park for Islanders no high rise
Carpark for the island people
Carpark needed
Carpark upgrade
Carpark with underground levels
Carpark with underground levels
Casual family eateries where kids are welcome
Cleaned up more upgraded
Coffee shop
Coffee shops, playgrounds and a picnic area
Deeper water somewhere our boat, we couldn't get in
Development needs to go ahead to encourage more people to go there and spend money that will go into the local economy
Dig out the channel make it better and put a Marina there

Do nothing
 Dog park and a good fishing area.
 Don't know
 dredging, it's all mud at low tide
 family facilities, green areas
 family friendly place
 Generally upgrade the whole area never goes more than 5 storeys though
 Good parking. good public transport
 Good toilet facilities and be able to have a coffee. Put something there that will bring people to the area.
 Create jobs and uplift the area
 Housing development
 I suppose everything we are promised by the council. they say they will do things and then nothing.
 I would like to see it looked after
 Improve the area like a park, it is all swamp
 Improve the walkways
 Improved park facilities
 it has to be upgraded to attract tourists through there to the islands
 It is a rural area, but it needs improving
 It needs more car parking and public toilets
 It needs parking facilities and a serious upgrade without putting high-rise everywhere
 It serves the purpose.
 It's an eyesore, needs doing up as long as they look after the environment at the same time
 It's just a stop off point. Maybe more parking for people. Regular bus service.
 Jetty or pier for tying up boats
 Jetty out over the water
 Just a transit stop. buses need a bigger area for peak hour traffic - school buses etc
 Just leave it alone it's OK
 Keep parks well maintained
 Keep the areas as natural as possible
 Keeping it more tidy
 Kids play area so they can see the bay and the ferries and adults can have a quiet coffee and a catch up with friends
 Leave alone
 Leave alone don't spend money on saving the mangroves
 leave as is
 Leave public areas family friendly - no high rise
 Left more as parkland with cafes to eat at while waiting for the ferry
 Left untouched as much as possible
 Less development, small footprint
 Little extras for people who are catching the ferry
 Make the area bigger
 Maybe a weekend market
 More & Better parking
 More access for water sport
 More and easier access for taxis & buses
 More boat ramps
 More boat Ramps
 More boat ramps for boating
 More car parking
 More facilities to draw families
 More parking & seating
 More parking and play areas for children
 More parking as well
 More parking facilities, shops, cafes
 More parking needed here

More parking no high rise buildings
More parks, cafes, broadwalk
More public areas for boats cafes etc
More seating for while waiting for the ferry
More secure parking, we pay \$2000 per year, and the spaces are too far away from the ferry. For safety sake at night.
More sheltered BBQ's
More shops
More shops on the foreshore
More shops, better parking
More speciality shops
More things to do there
More walking paths
Much the same - picnic area
Multi storey carpark
Multi storey carpark
Natural environment but I think that ship has sailed.
Nature we need to look after it
Need to attract tourists and extra money for the area. Jobs and money by making it a pleasant place to visit
Needs better parking
Needs something to do there while waiting for ferry cafe, shops, playground
Needs to be upgraded. It need be made into a small village shops, cafe etc
No changes
No destruction of the Natural environment
No high rises
No high rises keep it natural
No high rises or marina the water is too shallow
No mozzie and sandflies
No parking meters
No we don't want it to change
Non smoking area. It really bothers me that no one takes any notice of the signs.
Not high rise - but needs some development shops - units and the like
Not high rise or over development
Not much change
Not much change - no marinas
Not sure
Not sure what they should do there
Nothing
Nothing at all
Nothing because the foreshore is being damaged
Nothing because the foreshore is being damaged
Nothing extra
Nothing it's fine the way it is
Nothing, spend the money on something that would make a difference to the community. Health and caring for the community
Only been there with visitors showing them the area. Can't comment
Parking
Parking and good ferry access
Parking and more facilities, more undercover picnic area in the park
Parking but listen to people in the area or island
parking for island people
Parking space for islands. Residents but still places left for parks
Parklands play areas
Picnic areas for families
Pier of Jetty over the water
Redland PDA Project 2014

Play areas BBQs mini golf water features
Playground for kids while we are waiting for the ferry
Public amenities needed along the boardwalk
Put a marina there
Restaurants, shops. cafes
retain the boat sheds as they give the atmosphere
Same - Don't really know enough about it to comment
Shaded areas where you can sit and watch the water
Shops & Cafes
shops and cafes, better parking
Shops Cafes
Shops cafes - something to do while waiting for the ferry
Shops restaurants, better parking
Shops undercover BBQ
Shops, cafes and restaurants
Shops, restaurants and a pier over the water
Shops. better parking, covered BBQ areas
Shops. parking facilities
Some access to artificial beach
Some boutique shops and a restaurant/ coffee shop
Some more covered areas for picnics
Something for everyone
Something needs to go in there but not on a grand scale. Something to attract visitors and locals but not like Wynnum/Manly
Something to attract tourists/visitors to the area. Maybe a facelift but nothing too grand
Somewhere families and tourist friends can go and appreciate the locations we have
Sort out the dredging
Speciality shops, cafes
Stay environmentally sound
The creek needs cleaning out
The same as above
the same as other
The same it needs developing
The same make it a nice place for people to go to and family friendly
There are not enough parking areas
they need to do a major upgrade at the area
They will do what they want anyway
Tidy up and leave alone
Tidy up but not too much
Under cover picnic areas better parking
Undercover picnic areas and bbq's for families
upgrade carpark and marina
Upgrade facilities
Walkway would be nice but eco friendly
We like to sit on the foreshore and enjoy the view
We need a new boat ramp and more parking areas
Wharf or jetty to fish from
Wheelchair and family friendly.
Why look at 2 terminals, why not leave Weinam as a nice park area and upgrade Toondah

Table 8: 6. Many pieces of infrastructure in our city require replacement or upgrade. these include major infrastructure such as the vehicle and passenger ferry terminals at Toondah harbour at Cleveland and Weinam Creek at Redland Bay. How should local government pay for critical infrastructure?

A combination of government funding and private enterprise
A joint partnership between state and local govts
A mix of local gov and commercial development
A mix of local government and private sector
As part of our rates no increase, allotment from state gov maybe
Both local & State gov
Both state & Local gov
Budget for it
Build now , pay later , the council will make a lot of money if it is upgraded
Business people
By making it pay as you use maybe
Charge parking fees
Charge the island residents for parking
Companies in that area
Contract private enterprise to do it. Put the jobs up for tender. I bet the council workers will lift their came
Council get enough through rates they should spend it wisely so they can choose to do things like this
D/K
Developers should pay
Developers should pay for this not tax payers
Development plan over long term plan
Don't; they budget, take from revenue from already raised
Don't know, that's why we pay them.
Don't know, they have 'experts' for all that.
Fed funding grants
Federal & State Gov
Federal Gov
Federal Gov
Free parking and also private parking where people pay, that should off-set the cost a bit. Encourage business people to set up there
From funds already raised by rates & taxes
From funds already raised by rates & Taxes
From Newman's pay pocket
From our rates already paid
From rates & Taxes
From rates already paid, state gov should pay half
From rates and taxes
From revenue already raised from rates. What are they doing with all the money?
From revenue already raised with rates and taxes
From revenue raising
From the money they already have
Fund raising, showcase the area
Get help from the state government when they sort themselves out after the last government
Get money from federal government to pay for it
Get more people working and taxation, rates income will increase - simple
Get the council people to take a pay cut/ they do very little as it is.
Gov funding
Gov will have to pay
Have more visitors to the area, this would raise funds for infrastructure
Have no idea, money should around from rates and fines
Have state gov help out

Have state gov share the cost
 Have user pays
 Have user pays facilities
 I suppose I will be paying for through taxes and rates. Should be done by private developers
 In the budget
 Increase the cost of the ferry & bus fares to the harbour
 Instruct private enterprise
 Joint effort with council and developers.
 Joint efforts through state and local government
 Just don't increase rates.
 Local & State Gov should pay
 Manage their budget better
 Maybe a multi storey carpark
 Maybe they could hold events to raise money
 Maybe user pays car parks
 Maybe user pays parking.
 More paid long-term parking by choice. Don't do away with free parking though.
 More rates I guess
 More taxes if we have to have it improved
 Multi level carpark - user pays
 No idea. thought that was why we pay rates
 Not by raising rates
 Not by raising rates, perhaps a user pays system
 Not from rate increase. A state government grant would help or ask Tony Abbott
 Not raising rates - public shouldn't have to pay
 Not sure but shouldn't state gov pay for this
 Not sure state gov should go 50/50
 Not sure, rates are really high already
 Our rates
 Out of our rates
 Out of our rates, develop the area
 Out of the budget
 Out of the taxes we pay
 Out of their budget
 out of their own pockets
 Pay as you use parking facility
 Perhaps balance the books and allocate money by priority
 Private developers and they can pay money to the council.
 Private enterprise
 Private parking charges also public if people prefer. Levee on the visitors that should raise some money
 Private sector
 Private sector/ developers and get them to pay for it.
 Public sector should be doing this development, what has the council got to do with it?
 put transport money to it
 Raise rates I guess
 Raise taxes
 Rate payers will have to foot the bill
 Rate payers will have to pay more
 Rates & Taxes probably
 Rates already high, user pays would be great
 Rates with State Gov assistance
 RCC should manage funds better
 Revenue raised , plus a multi level carpark
 Said they have the money, where is it now?
 Should be funded by state gov

Should be shared with state gov
Should be shared with state Gov 50/50
Should be state & local gov
Should be State Gov not local
Spend the money they already have. Where has the \$90million gone? Our jetty is condemned why isn't something being done about that?
State & Federal gov
State Gov should help with this
State Gov should share this
State Gov sponsorship, rates already too high
State government and private enterprise
State government should come on board with a project like this, isn't it all associated with public transport?
State government should help, it's all good for tourism and that keep money coming in for everyone
State government should help. RCC should have plenty of money around for projects like this. Spend it to get it
State grant
Stop giving themselves a pay rise
Stop selling all our land to developers
Subsidize by rates and user pays
Take a pay cut
Take it from revenue raised from rates also State government should contribute
Take it out of rates already paid and look at how they are using the funds
Tax payer funded
Taxes / user pays
That would be their problem
That's a real tricky question, local government usually raises money from local in the form of rates and fines and other taxes. No courtesy anymore always chasing the money. That does discourage tourists and visitors too.
The state gov should help them out
The state Gov should pay
The state government should pay
The tax payer
They should try to get money from State Gov
Tourism. User pay parking
Use funds correctly for infrastructure
Use rates and taxes
Use revenue already raised
Use some of their money
Use taxes already collected
Use taxes and fines, where are they all going now?
Use the money from the rates. There are so many houses not there should be plenty of money. The public doesn't have the debt - the government does. Do something about it.
User pays parking
We pay enough rates, let the governments pay for it
Well they are going to put Rates up to pay for it
Well we shouldn't have to pay for it, we pay far too much rates now
Why not user pays? I know people who never go there, why should they pay? We are taxed to death now - and beyond
With the money thing get from Rates and stop wasting money on other things
With their own money
Work with state government
Wouldn't there be funds available from rates and taxes already paid.